



[54] HEALTH CARE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FOR MANAGING MEDICAL TREATMENTS AND COMPARING USER-PROPOSED AND RECOMMENDED RESOURCES REQUIRED FOR TREATMENT

[75] Inventors: Gary T. McIlroy, Edina; Julie E. Kees, Eagan; Jacquelyn A. Kalscheuer, Bloomington, all of Minn.

[73] Assignee: Health Risk Management, Inc., Minneapolis, Minn.

[21] Appl. No.: 475,523

[22] Filed: Jun. 7, 1995

Related U.S. Application Data

[63] Continuation of Ser. No. 449,288, May 24, 1995, which is a continuation of Ser. No. 901,642, Jun. 22, 1992.

[51] Int. Cl. G06F 17/60
[52] U.S. Cl. 395/202; 395/209
[58] Field of Search 364/401, 402, 364/403, 408

[56] References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Table of U.S. Patent Documents with columns for patent number, date, inventor, and reference number.

Table of other patent references with columns for patent number, date, inventor, and reference number.

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Wilson, Anne S., et al., "Designing a Guideline-Based Utilization Management Program," Benefits Quarterly, Forth Quarter, pp. 42-47, (1991).
Greenes, Robert A., "Computer-Aided Diagnostic Strategy Selection," The Radiologic Clinics of North America, vol. 24, No. 1, pp. 105-120, (Mar. 1986).
Affidavit of Julie Kees (5 pages), with attached exhibits (A-M).
A Quick Introduction to MRP, B. McSpaden, System User, vol. 5, No. 4 pp. 16-17, Jul. 1984.
Naval Submarine Medical Research Laboratory, NSMRL Report 1141, 23 Jun. 1989, Karen Fisherkeller & Robert Beaudrg.
Structuring Coherent Explanation: The use of Diagnostic Strategie in an Expert Critiquing System, Pradeep Mutalik, Paul Fisher, H. A. Swett, P. L. Miller.
Primary Examiner—Gail O. Hayes
Assistant Examiner—Hayward A. Verdun
Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A.

[57]

ABSTRACT

A health care management system for use by hospitals, physicians, insurance companies, health maintenance organizations, and others in the health care field includes a processing unit and health condition guidelines. A user inputs information related to the health condition of an individual and guideline treatment options are identified. The user also inputs actual or proposed and final recommendation treatments for the same individual. The resulting comparative information can be used to modify the actual or proposed treatment, or provide explanatory information as to reasons for the difference between the final recommendation treatment and guideline treatment options. Also, the comparative information can be used by a reviewer for evaluation or utilization purposes.

5 Claims, 35 Drawing Sheets

